



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/588,884	08/27/2007	Karl G. Csaky	NIHA 0383	8820
45160	7590	08/11/2010	EXAMINER	
OTT- NIH c/o WOODCOCK WASHBURN LLP CIRA CENTRE, 12TH FLOOR 2929 ARCH STREET PHILADELPHIA, PA 19104-2891			AUDET, MAURY A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1654	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/11/2010	PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/588,884	<b>Applicant(s)</b> CSAKY ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> MAURY AUDET	<b>Art Unit</b> 1654	

**All Participants:**

(1) MAURY AUDET, Examiner.

(2) Jane Inglese, Applicant's Representative.

**Date of Interview:** 20 May 2010

**Status of Application:** Pending

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Time:** NA

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

NA

Claims discussed:

All

Prior art documents discussed:

NA

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*During a few telephone exchanges on or about 5/19/10-5/20/10 (questions/responses left by voicemail), following the response to restriction, prior to examination on the merits, the Examiner indicated that as for the kit claims, which are part of the elected group as products, it was indicated the functional language therein has not been analyzed for enablement. Applicant was asked if they wish to delete this language or a new restriction sent based on each of these a distinct products? The Examiner left the issue to be resolved in writing.*

**Part III.**

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Maury Audet/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1654

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)